# On weighted KLRW algebras

Or: Mind the distance

Daniel Tubbenhauer



Joint with Andrew Mathas

#### February 2022

Daniel Tubbenhauer

#### Where are we?



- ► Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier ~2008 + many others (including OIST) KLR algebras are at the heart of categorical representation theory
- ► Similarly for quiver Schur algebras and diagrammatic Cherednik algebras
- Problem All of these are actually really complicated!



- Khovanov–Lauda–Rouquier ~2008 + many others (including OIST) KLR algebras are at the heart of categorical representation theory
- ▶ Similarly for quiver Schur algebras and diagrammatic Cherednik algebras

Problem All of these are actually really complicated!

Daniel Tubbenhauer



#### String diagrams – the baby case

Connect eight points at the bottom with eight points at the top:

(1243)(5876) ++++ or (12436)(57)(8) +----

We just invented the symmetric group  $S_8$ 



My multiplication rule for gh is "stack g on top of h"

#### String diagrams - the baby case

- We clearly have g(hf) = (gh)f
- ▶ There is a do nothing operation 1g = g = g1



▶ Generators-relations (the Reidemeister moves)

gens : 
$$\checkmark$$
, rels :  $\checkmark$  =  $|$  |,  $\checkmark$  =  $\checkmark$ 





gens : 
$$\checkmark$$
, rels :  $\checkmark$  =  $\bigcirc$  =  $\bigcirc$ 



#### String diagrams - the baby case

- We clearly have g(hf) = (gh)f
- ▶ There is a do nothing operation 1g = g = g1



Generators-relations (the Reidemeister moves)

gens : 
$$\checkmark$$
, rels :  $\checkmark$  =  $|$ ,  $\checkmark$  =  $\checkmark$ 

# Weighted string diagrams



- Strings come in three types, solid, ghost and red solid:

   i
   ,
   ghost :
   i
- Strings are labeled, and solid and ghost strings can carry dots
- ▶ Red strings anchor the diagram (red strings ↔ level)
- Otherwise no difference to symmetric group diagrams

### Weighted string diagrams



► The strings are labeled by  $i \in I$  from a fixed quiver  $\Gamma = (I, E)$ 

▶ The relations (that I am not going to show you ;-)) depend on  $e \in E$ , e.g.:

i

Daniel Tubbenhauer



▶ Choose endpoints  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$  for the solid and red strings

- ► Choose a weighting  $\sigma \colon E \to \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$  of the underlying graph  $\Gamma = (I, E)$
- ► The weighted KLRW algebra crucially depends on these choices of endpoints! This is very different from "usual diagram algebras"

Daniel Tubbenhauer



# Weight<u>ed string diagrams</u>



Daniel Tubbenhauer

# Weight<u>ed string diagrams</u>



The weighted KLRW algebra crucially depends on these choices of endpoints! This is very different from "usual diagram algebras"

Daniel Tubbenhauer



- ▶ Choose endpoints  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$  for the solid and red strings
- Choose a weighting  $\sigma \colon E \to \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$  of the underlying graph  $\Gamma = (I, E)$
- The weighted KLRW algebra crucially depends on these choices of endpoints! This is very different from "usual diagram algebras"

Daniel Tubbenhauer

## Weighted string diagrams



▶ Choose endpoints  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$  for the solid and red strings

- Choose a weighting  $\sigma \colon E \to \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$  of the underlying graph  $\Gamma = (I, E)$
- ► The weighted KLRW algebra crucially depends on these choices of endpoints! This is very different from "usual diagram algebras"

Daniel Tubbenhauer

# Weighted string diagrams



#### Hyperplanes



•  $\mathbb{C}[X]/(X-a)(X-b)$  comes in two isomorphism classes:

one double root a = b & two different roots  $a \neq b$ 

▶ What is the analog picture for weighted KLRW algebras?

Daniel Tubbenhauer

#### Hyperplanes



Daniel Tubbenhauer



 $\blacktriangleright$  Cyclotomic quotients  $\Leftrightarrow$  bounded regions:

Unsteady: 
$$\rho_i^{i}$$
  $\rightarrow_{\rho_i}^{i}$  pulls freely, not unsteady:  $\rho_i^{i}$  stopped by the red string  $\rho_i^{i}$ 

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ Cellular bases } \Leftrightarrow \text{ minimal regions (I will elaborate momentarily):}$ 

$$(1^3):$$
  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 00 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

▶ More properties I won't explain today due to time restrictions...

## Distance is it!

▶ Weighted KLRW algebras have standard bases , with the picture:



► Weighted KLRW algebras have "cellular" bases , with the picture:



Daniel Tubbenhauer





Daniel Tubbenhauer





▶ The definition of the permutation follows the usual strategy in this context:



• Let me focus on the middle  $y^a 1_\lambda$ 



- Assume the tableaux combinatorics is given
- ▶ Place strings inductively as far to the right as possible (this is the order!)
- ▶  $1_{\lambda}$  is minimal with respect to placing the strings to the right
- ▶  $1_{\lambda}$  stays minimal when dots are put on certain strands  $\rightsquigarrow$  get  $y^{a}1_{\lambda}$

► Done!

Daniel Tubbenhauer





Daniel Tubbenhauer





There is still much to do...



Thanks for your attention!